

# Deployment of Building Information Modelling (BIM) for Energy Efficiency in the UK

David Oloke<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> University of Wolverhampton, , Wolverhampton, WV1 1LY  
United Kingdom  
d.a.oloke@wlv.ac.uk

**Abstract.** Continuing advancement in digitalised technology has brought about constant changes and innovations in several industries. In construction, Building Information Modelling (BIM) is one of these key technologies that seeks to lessen the impacts of global warming and growing environmental concern. BIM also positively contributes in sustainable life-cycle decisions for building procurement and management. The dynamic digital environment provided by BIM facilitates the effective storage, sharing and integration of all the essential building information throughout the entire life-cycle of a building within a three-dimensional computer model. Despite the major technical opportunities and benefits, however, BIM has not been fully adopted and capitalized upon yet by industry stakeholders worldwide. The lack of widespread uptake engaging all team members and more practical and achievable policies and other initiatives, substantial cost of software equipment, high training and consultancy fees, personal user behaviour, mostly regarding difficulties in changing traditional work processes and other factors represent the major barriers impeding the full BIM-productive potential which leads to many drawbacks, such as lower performance in building's energy efficiency and qualitative properties, higher cost, resource losses, delay in time for project completion. To this end, some interviews were conducted for the purposes studying the sustainable ways of using BIM and the dissemination of its adoption in planning, consulting and contracting companies and organisations in the UK was conducted in this research. A more feasible integration of BIM for energy-simulation, but there are still many gaps to be fulfilled. Although UK-based companies appear to be willing to implement BIM, in real terms it is more likely that BIM is not being used in its full potential.

**Keywords:** Building, Energy Efficiency, Refurbishment, Sustainability, BIM

## 1 Introduction

The construction industry is expected to reach over 70% growth by 2025 [1]. Thus representing a prominent sector where energy use could be decreased, several countries have already set this target out in order to reduce their carbon emissions and to achieve improvement in energy efficiency. The aim of the UK, for instance, is “(a) 33% reduction in both the initial cost of construction and the whole life cost of assets; (b)

38 50% reduction in the overall time from inception to completion for new build and  
39 refurbished assets; (c) 50% reduction in greenhouse gas emissions in the built  
40 environment; (d) 50% reduction in the trade gap between total exports and total imports  
41 for construction products and materials” (ibid).

42 Continuing advancement in digitalised technology has bringing about constant  
43 changes and innovations in each industry [2]. As one of these key technologies aimed  
44 at lessening the impacts of global warming and growing environmental concerns,  
45 Building Information Modelling (BIM) is designed to positively contribute to  
46 sustainable life-cycle decisions with significant impact on our environmental, social  
47 and economic needs [3] and Chong et al, [2]. The dynamic digital environment  
48 provided by BIM manages to effectively store, share and integrate all the essential  
49 building information from conceptual design and pre-construction stages throughout  
50 the entire life-cycle of a building within a three-dimensional computer model [4]. Such  
51 information technologies enable the collation of exceptionally detailed but fragmented  
52 aspects and systems of a facility, and simultaneously managing to retain all the ongoing  
53 processes together within a single model, thus aiming to purposefully achieve a set  
54 target [5-6]. The very valuable virtual process of BIM for design, construction,  
55 operation and maintenance of buildings allows professionals to create and reinvent  
56 sustainable designs that improve cost-savings of a built facility, and, what is  
57 tremendously important. All the project team members (owners, architects, engineers,  
58 contractors, subcontractors, suppliers) can collaborate more intensely and efficiently  
59 together, compared to the impractical traditional processes [5, 7, 8]. Various authors  
60 have reported significant return on investments over time. This is a significant  
61 economic benefit that stresses the importance of BIM-assisted designs. The use of BIM  
62 is also perceived to be advantageous owing to “higher-quality deliverables”, excellent  
63 time management, accurate cost estimation, reduced project costs and higher net  
64 savings, better understanding through real-time visualisation, instant conflict detection  
65 and low percentage of documentation errors, contingencies, risks and unexpected  
66 alterations [9, 5,7, 10].

67 Despite its major technical opportunities and benefits, though, BIM has not been  
68 fully adopted and capitalized yet by industry stakeholders worldwide. According to a  
69 research from National Building Specification (NBS), which indicates a high level of  
70 BIM-awareness in the UK, Canada, Finland and New Zealand, compared to a much  
71 lower level of current BIM-use; only in the UK is the discrepancy` s percentages highest  
72 the suggesting that 94% are aware of BIM and just 39% are actually implementing  
73 BIM. The results of the mentioned study above only confirm that due to linking  
74 challenges, obstructing its effectiveness, BIM appears to be deployed much slower than  
75 it has been anticipated in the UK [10]. The lack of widespread uptake engaging all team  
76 members and more practical and achievable policies and other initiatives, substantial  
77 cost of software equipment, high training and consultancy fees, personal user  
78 behaviour, mostly regarding difficulties in changing traditional work processes, low  
79 profitability for stakeholders, software or hardware issues and insufficiency in desirable  
80 level of integration and interoperability represent the major barriers impeding the full  
81 BIM-productive potential which leads to many drawbacks, such as lower performance

82 in building's energy efficiency and qualitative properties, higher cost, resource losses,  
83 delay in time for project completion [9, 5, 7].

84 To address this issue, a qualitative assessment was conducted for the purposes of  
85 studying the sustainable ways of using BIM and the dissemination of its adoption in  
86 planning, consulting and contracting companies and organisations in the UK. This was  
87 with the particular focus on examining the process of improving energy efficiency  
88 through developing cost-effective smart buildings. The findings of this research are  
89 envisaged to be of special interest to wide range of UK stakeholders, interested in the  
90 use of BIM in regards to optimisation of energy efficiency in operating built  
91 environment, with important insights on the current level of uptake in the development  
92 of BIM for energy efficiency in buildings, its competitive advantages and existing  
93 obstacles, associated with the implementation of BIM, as well as some featured  
94 recommendations on how future BIM-adoption could be developed [9].

## 95 **2 An Overview of BIM Towards Energy Efficiency.**

96 Due to the rising demand for energy; the public and private sectors are progressively  
97 requiring planning and construction of sustainable buildings, (i.e. with minimal  
98 environmental impact, so that next generations could be able to meet their own needs)  
99 [1]. Significant efforts have been made with regards to reducing the use of fossil fuel  
100 as the most common and incredibly polluting source of energy. Such efforts include the  
101 adoption of different sustainable processes like BIM, other planning and simulation  
102 software, effective building codes and standards. Sustainability assessments, green  
103 building rating systems such as BREEAM and LEED and a range of governmental  
104 policies and incentives [7, 2].

105 The two major components which when combined efficiently save considerable time  
106 and resources, based on the BIM-work process, are high level of integrated design  
107 approach and an intense/ collaborative team commitment (Ibid).

108 Due to the increasing importance of decisions needing to be made early; BIM gives  
109 the great advantage of the possibility for decisions in the design and pre-constructional  
110 stages to be made by the different team members involved in the project, especially  
111 when recognizing if any implications evolve during the process [7, 11]. The integration  
112 of data during the entire project can be fluid when all of the project participants use the  
113 same software to achieve the lowest possible energy consumption and to evaluate the  
114 cost and environmental impact, so undoubtedly this is one of the BIM's greatest  
115 benefits [5, 7]. Once the model is created, all stakeholders are able to constantly refine,  
116 adjust and change the project specifications and to be instantly detected if any collisions  
117 might appear between all major systems, as BIM automatically checks for possible  
118 interferences so the team members can be ensured that the model is as accurate as  
119 possible [5].

120 The use of BIM from the very beginning also enables the creation of design that can  
121 analyse the impact of buildings and the prediction and optimisation of their energy  
122 performance through multidisciplinary analysis for cost-avoiding and prevention of  
123 time-consuming alterations at later stages in the project [7]. During the early or pre-

124 design stage BIM-based simulation is important for developing a sustainable building  
125 design combining many factors so that specialists could finally determine building's  
126 internal gains and set energy consumption targets [5]. In this regard, collaborative  
127 accomplishments toward an improvement of building's energy evaluation process and  
128 facilitation of teamwork are noted, as it is, for instance, the Object-Oriented Physical  
129 Modelling approach, developed by Kim et al. (2015), which meliorates complex data  
130 exchange between building design and energy simulation software [2]. Another  
131 excellent example represents one of the most effective ways to reduce carbon emissions  
132 through the renovation of existing buildings in order to reduce energy consumption, for  
133 the purpose of which during the post-occupancy stage Motawa and Carter (2013) has  
134 established a conceptual systematic BIM-based model to monitor building behaviour  
135 as a means of improving the post-occupancy evaluation process and meet the industry  
136 standard requirements for sustainable buildings [2]. Implementing software programs  
137 for sustainable analysis, as well as installing energy-efficient systems and optimizing  
138 strategies for minimum energy losses, such as heating systems that are power-generated  
139 from renewable energy sources, inclusion of sustainable materials and insulation from  
140 recycled or recyclable resources with high thermal properties, natural cooling and  
141 ventilation systems for best delivery efficiency are recent measures. Also, a well-  
142 orientated building design and corresponding glazing and solar shading devices for  
143 most proper day lighting and solar incidence, water-efficient systems in favour of  
144 lowering water consumption and creating more green spaces around or as a part of the  
145 building's envelope [5, 7, 3, 12, 13]. Manual calculations at every particular location  
146 within a building to measure or to elaborate all of these factors and finding the right  
147 thermal comfort is almost unattainable. BIM-based energy simulation programs  
148 (capable of calculating all of those values accurately) we can analyze thermal  
149 performance of buildings in the most practical and optimal manner. Thus, the  
150 inextricably linked data integration and interoperability are extremely important asset  
151 when discussing BIM [4].

152 Through the opportunity of using data exchange in thermal simulation software and  
153 selected thermal tools that integrate such analysis building geometry (surfaces and  
154 volumes), internal loads (operating systems, equipment and occupants), weather and  
155 climate conditions, and other essential input data, affect energy consumption and cost  
156 can now be modelled thereby clearing the interoperability's challenges between BIM  
157 and energy simulation tools. This can increase the workflow between analysis'  
158 applications and project's deliverables [14]. According to Turk [6] the goal has always  
159 been to "make building information models understandable and model data sharable  
160 across multiple design disciplines and heterogeneous computer systems".

161 Several technical barriers that BIM-based thermal simulation tools continue to face  
162 are long analytical model preparation times, missing or invalid data in architectural  
163 models and inconsistent conversion of architectural models to thermal models (ibid).

164 Not having the capacity to simultaneously analyze all the aforementioned green  
165 building features and systems, thus not providing sufficient analytical data for an  
166 assessment with green building rating systems like BREEAM, LEED, DGNB, Green  
167 Star, and other, is a very significant disadvantage that certainly needs to be amended  
168 [13]. If all required documentation for certification toward the level of building'

169 sustainability could be incorporated in BIM-based analysis software, this would  
170 diminish time and resource prerequisite and simplify the assessment method, compared  
171 to the conventional approach [2].

172 Another persistent obstacle is that BIM is mostly used during design phase, but  
173 comparatively rarely for facility management and throughout the commissioning and  
174 operational phases, and also with highly challenging direct application in existing  
175 buildings (ibid). Hence, during the development of BIM, there must be a linking of  
176 retrievable data within the model for all the subsequent post-construction phases [4].  
177 Although existing BIM-based software is still limited to the project design phase, there  
178 are some supporting detailed energy performance analysis designed for the operation,  
179 maintenance and post-occupancy phases, and more multidisciplinary BIM applications  
180 are indispensably expected to experience growth. The development of such extensive  
181 green BIM applications would allow a systematic analysis of the whole environmental  
182 impact of a building [13].

183 Last but not least, there is another shaping moderation in the ongoing adoption of  
184 BIM, affecting the user-technology relationship, namely personal attitude and  
185 perceptions, depending on factors like age, experience, motivation, voluntariness of  
186 use, social influence, terms of support and expectancy, so in order to accelerate the  
187 diffusion of BIM there should be primarily focus on individual level [9].

188 In general, the BIM process needs better standardization in order to define strict  
189 guidelines for its implementation. Therefore, the data exchange process for performing  
190 energy and environmental simulations engines need to become more reliable, combined  
191 with more user-friendly interface, more capable and much quicker, more up-to-date and  
192 with more advanced functionality towards current computer science technology, so that  
193 the users can integrate them more smoothly into practice [14]. Simplification and  
194 compatibility of input parameters and enhancement of data exchange solutions with  
195 more reliable data conversion based on BIM, as well as deep knowledge of thermal  
196 processes in a building, are mandatory for a meaningful and accurate energy simulation  
197 [13]. Closer integration of energy simulations with the operational performance of  
198 buildings in real terms will thus not only improve existing simulation tools, but will  
199 also enable a more energy-efficient operation of buildings.

200 In order to reduce data inconsistencies and to increase the number of projects where  
201 energy simulation within BIM can be not only productively used but, more importantly  
202 produce reliable results. It is thus hereby suggested that practitioners should engage  
203 themselves in further research and development process for finding such BIM-based  
204 solutions. Hence, software vendors should be encouraged to improve tools that support  
205 practical needs [15]. Furthermore, professionals willing to effectively integrate BIM in  
206 their designs should commit to its widespread implementation and to the development  
207 of standards, enabling continuous improvement not only toward the conceptual  
208 modelling, but also into deeper research into more efficient integration of energy  
209 simulation during commissioning and operational level (ibid). Accordingly, companies  
210 should also invest more in training of their employees, so they could acquire  
211 indispensable and valuable skills and learn how to take full advantage of all BIM-  
212 benefits. As building industry shifts towards finding a way to successfully adopt BIM,  
213 the education system should also take as well a more thorough and collaborative

214 educating approach towards the way of teaching and assessing sustainability topics in  
215 undergraduate and graduate degrees, as well as research objectives for doctoral  
216 programs. This will facilitate the attainment of the benefits of BIM alongside the  
217 progressive benefits from the UK Government's mandate relating to the use of "fully  
218 collaborative 3D" BIM in all contracts awarded since 2014 in order to reduce costs and  
219 errors [8]. Although the dissemination of BIM constantly rose during the last decade  
220 and it is likely to continue its growth as long as the challenges could be overcome.  
221 Research opportunities therefore continue to abound as precursor to stimulating the  
222 supply chain to start working more collaboratively through the use of BIM in order to  
223 achieve higher levels of energy efficiency and sustainability of projects.

### 224 **3 An Exploration of Industry Perspectives**

225 The literature reviewed formed the basis for this study. It helped to identify existent  
226 gaps and challenges in the overall dissemination of BIM in the UK. The research thus  
227 set out to establish the extent of the current BIM-adoption for energy efficiency within  
228 the UK construction industry, with relation to project delivery.

229 An interview of the willing organisations was conducted to obtain opinions and  
230 information on the current level of BIM-use for improving energy efficiency in UK-  
231 based construction companies and organizations. For the intended purpose, an online  
232 questionnaire was first distributed through e-mail invitations sent to randomly selected  
233 architects, designers, engineers, surveyors, contractors, officially operating on the UK  
234 territory. The prepared questionnaire included basic questions that allowed the  
235 consenting, voluntary participants to indicate willingness to participate in a structured  
236 interview.

237 Based on the responses from the survey, three interviews were conducted as part of  
238 this phase. The interviews sought to provide information on issues such as how  
239 profitable and compatible is BIM for optimizing energy efficiency in the UK built  
240 environment, what are the major barriers toward such BIM-uptake and how these  
241 obstacles can be overcome. After the data was collected it was processed for further  
242 analysis and then through discussion and stated assumptions, as well as with the aid of  
243 utilizing the option "analyze results", provided by the built-in platform of  
244 SurveyMonkey.

245 A systematic review approach was adopted to analyse the feedback from the survey.  
246 Although the three respondents believe that BIM offers advantages to an overall  
247 sustainable building design and that the sustainable construction practices are of  
248 importance within their company, they all considered that the energy efficiency aspect  
249 do not primarily apply in their BIM projects as a pre-determined performance target, but  
250 rather as a design applying to environmental performance standards, such as  
251 BREEAM and LEED. They also believe that BIM helps to properly define client's brief  
252 requirement and ensure compliance to Building Regulation Part 'L' requirement. The  
253 interviews also revealed that BIM can significantly and effectively, or at least  
254 satisfyingly, aid in performing complex building energy performance analysis to  
255 predict and ensure an optimized building design.

256 With respect to BIM-interoperability, two of the respondents agree that energy-  
257 simulation tools strongly support BIM, but both agree that there is significant room  
258 for improved BIM-support. There appears to have been a lot of excitement about the  
259 potential of BIM, but as per being an enhancement of best practices for energy  
260 efficiency in the conceptual, design and construction and operational stages this  
261 opportunity is limited. Full unanimity is observed when answering what the major  
262 barriers within the respondent's organisation are to the uptake of BIM with regards to  
263 energy efficiency improvement, where everyone stated "no client's demand". It is  
264 mostly agreed that respondents positively will or probably may suggest innovative  
265 upgrades of the BIM process in order to optimize energy efficiency in buildings. They  
266 also affirmed that it is absolutely essential, or worth considering, that the government  
267 should actively encourage the use of BIM through policies and other initiatives in the  
268 Private sector similar to the 2016 UK Government BIM Mandate for Centrally procured  
269 government projects.

270 Furthermore, interview results revealed that, the determining characteristics and  
271 conjectures about BIM are mainly positive experience, advantages in sustainability and  
272 prediction of energy performance of buildings, satisfying level of operability and ease  
273 of use towards energy-simulation tools. However, BIM does not appear to be desirable  
274 enough in implementing best practices for energy efficiency throughout the building's  
275 life-cycle, diversity among stakeholders in attitude, expectancy and benefits toward  
276 BIM. More upgrades should be in focus, more subsidised policies and incentives should  
277 be applied by the Government in order to facilitate the adoption of BIM in the UK  
278 construction industry. Findings of the research are revealing that BIM is expanding  
279 within the construction industry and practitioners think it is the future, while moving to  
280 sustainable buildings. Overall, the collected data from the survey show that the  
281 disadvantages when using BIM towards energy efficiency and sustainability are  
282 generally less relevant than the positive effects of implementing BIM. Many  
283 obstructions were identified, though, in BIM-adoption for higher energy efficiency, and  
284 the most important barriers to uptake are: low level of awareness, difficulties in  
285 embracing innovations, lack of strict policies, lack of training and experience and  
286 relative disconnection between different construction industry's branches.

287 Substantial efforts were carried out in order to obtain a more feasible integration of  
288 BIM toward energy-simulation tools, but there are still many gaps to be filled. UK-  
289 based companies and organisations are presumably willing and trying to implement  
290 BIM in an effort to meet the government deadlines, but in real terms it is more likely  
291 that BIM is not being used in its full potential. The results from this study (though  
292 limited) reveal that although there has been a significant amount of research and  
293 development about the use of BIM during various project phases, little work has been  
294 conducted about how it could be more effectively applied in order energy efficiency in  
295 buildings to become a driving aspect in new designs and refurbishments BIM-  
296 processes. Finally, the research indicates that the main reason for slowly deploying BIM  
297 relates to the lack of client's demand and clear and strict requirements, which in turn  
298 leads to lack of expertise within private and public companies and organisations.

299 Although of good quality the number of respondents to the interviews introduces a  
300 limited scope to the findings. With this relatively low number of responses, drawing

301 sensible conclusions is very difficult, and so the reason could be postulated as a result  
302 of either apparent disinterest, or low adoption percentage of BIM by professionals in  
303 the UK. The relationship between all the variables characterizing BIM evidences in  
304 general terms how individuals in the industry are currently perceiving the BIM-concept,  
305 thus the outcomes of this survey prove a lack of interest in pursuing energy efficiency.

#### 306 **4 Conclusion and Recommendations**

307 Although energy efficiency measures are recognized as an important matter and the  
308 possibilities of their application are expansive; it appears that the task of assuring  
309 stakeholders of the BIM-benefits remains a challenge. Due to the many identified  
310 barriers faced as part of the process, such as: lack of time, internal capacity and  
311 resources to explore energy efficiency options; it is proving more difficult to adopt and  
312 implement energy efficiency measures. The necessity to balance profitability and  
313 competitiveness with limited resources is even tougher for many SME's focusing on  
314 energy efficiency and other environmental concerns. Moreover, energy efficiency is  
315 indeed rarely viewed as a priority, especially among people with low income. If the  
316 investment will result, though, in other improvements beyond a sustainable way of life,  
317 such as savings, productivity, value, quality, safety, then people are more likely to be  
318 attracted and motivated to increase their investments towards energy efficiency  
319 practices.

320 Nevertheless, the industry is not clear enough yet on the application of BIM for  
321 optimising energy efficiency, which urges the need more significant actions to be taken  
322 by the Government and to be provided more stimulating incentives to all construction  
323 value chain stakeholders regarding the benefits of BIM towards achieving higher  
324 energy efficiency. BIM-process adaptation offers great opportunities for encapsulating  
325 rich data within the model at the earlier stages of the building life-cycle (design and  
326 construction) and such data as well for asset maintenance, so higher education institutes  
327 and other providers of educational and training courses must also collaborate more  
328 closely with practitioners to fully embrace this concept of a life-long learner in order to  
329 avoid knowledge redundancy and should be augmented with far greater industry-  
330 academic collaboration and education.

331 Transforming and decarbonizing the built environment is entirely possible with  
332 significant processes like BIM through which, using their full potential can be predicted  
333 and facilitated the optimal energy performance of buildings and to improve best  
334 practices for energy-efficient and high-quality construction can be improved - thus  
335 improving the quality of life. Despite the significant barriers of implementation, BIM  
336 will ultimately be driven by clients, and since acceptance is an individual act based on  
337 personal perceptions, we have to know that raising awareness about environmental  
338 challenges, leading to behavioral change. Likewise, as of a perspective, computers will  
339 continue to become faster which could allow representations of more complex  
340 relationships and futuristic BIM-approaches..



341 **References**

- 342 1. Petri, I., Kubicki, S., Rezgui, Y., Guerriero, A., Li, H., (2017) BRE Institute in Sustainable  
 343 Engineering, School of Engineering, Cardiff University, Cardiff, UK, Luxembourg Institute  
 344 of Science and Technology, Luxembourg, Luxembourg, , Optimizing Energy Efficiency in  
 345 Operating Built Environment Assets through Building Information Modeling: A Case Study.  
 346 *Energies* 10, 1167
- 347 2. Chong, H.-Y., Lee, C.-Y., Wang, X., (2017) A mixed review of the adoption of Building  
 348 Information Modelling (BIM) for sustainability, *Journal of Cleaner Production* Vol. 142,  
 349 School of Built Environment, Curtin University, Australia, Department of Housing and  
 350 Interior Design, Kyung Hee University, South Korea,
- 351 3. Azhar, S., Brown, J.W., Sattineni, A., McWhorter School of Building Science, Auburn  
 352 University, Auburn (2010) Alabama, USA, General Assembly Architects, Birmingham,  
 353 Alabama, USA, 27th International Symposium on Automation and Robotics in Construction  
 354 (ISARC 2010), A case study of building performance analyses using building information  
 355 modeling. [http://www.irbnet.de/daten/iconda/CIB\\_DC23913.pdf](http://www.irbnet.de/daten/iconda/CIB_DC23913.pdf) (Accessed 08/05/19)
- 356 4. Pärn, E.A., Edwards, D.J., Sing, M.C.P., (2017), The building information modelling  
 357 trajectory in facilities management: A review. *Automation in Construction* Vol. 75
- 358 5. Azhar, S., Leadership and Management in Engineering (2011), Building Information  
 359 Modelling (BIM): Trends, Benefits, Risks, and Challenges for the AEC Industry.  
 360 <https://ascelibrary.org/doi/pdf/10.1061/%28ASCE%29LM.1943-5630.0000127> (Accessed  
 361 08/05/19)
- 362 6. Turk, Z., University of Ljubljana, Faculty of Civil and Geodetic Engineering, Ljubljana,  
 363 Slovenia, (2016), Ten questions concerning building information modelling. *Building and*  
 364 *Environment* Vol. 107
- 365 7. Cho, C.-S., Chen, D., Woo, S., (2012) Building information modeling (BIM)-based design  
 366 of energy efficient buildings of Engineering Technology and Construction Management,  
 367 University of North Carolina at Charlotte, Charlotte, USA, Department of Civil Engineering,  
 368 Inha University, Incheon, Korea. <https://www.iaarc.org/publications/fulltext/S31-1.pdf>  
 369 (Accessed 08/05/19)
- 370 8. Bryde, D., Broquetas M., Volm, J.M., (2013), The project benefits of Building Information  
 371 Modelling (BIM) *International Journal of Project Management* Vol. 31 (Accessed  
 372 08/05/19)
- 373 9. Howard, R., Restrepo, L., Chang, C.-Y., (2017), Addressing individual perceptions: An  
 374 application of the unified theory of acceptance and use of technology to building information  
 375 modelling. *International Journal of Project Management* Vol 35
- 376 10. Ghaffarianhoseini, A., Tookey, J., Ghaffarianhoseini, A., Naismith, N., Azhar, S., Efimova,  
 377 O., Raahemifar K., (2017), Building Information Modelling (BIM) uptake: Clear benefits,  
 378 understanding its implementation, risks and challenges. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy*  
 379 *Reviews* Vol. 75
- 380 11. Bahar, Y.N., Pere, C., Landrieu, J., Nicolle, C. (2013) A Thermal Simulation Tool for  
 381 Building and Its Interoperability through the Building Information Modeling (BIM)  
 382 Platform. *Buildings* 2013, 3(2), University of Bourgogne-France, Arts et Metiers ParisTech,  
 383 Institut Image, Chalon-sur-Saône, IUT Dijon-Auxerre, University of Bourgogne,  
 384 Laboratoire LE2I, France, <https://www.mdpi.com/2075-5309/3/2/380/htm> (Accessed  
 385 08/05/19)
- 386 12. Krygiel, E., Nies, B., (2008), Green BIM: Successful Sustainable Design with Building  
 387 Information Modeling. *Wiley Publishing Inc., Indianapolis, Indiana*

- 388 13. Lu, Y., Wu, Z., Chang, R., Li, Y., (2017), Building Information Modelling (BIM) for green  
389 buildings: A critical review and future directions. *Automation in Construction* Vol. 83  
390 14. Maile, T., Fischer, M., Bazjanac, V., (2007) Center for Integrated Facility Engineering at  
391 Stanford University, Environmental Energy Technology Division at Lawrence Berkeley  
392 National Laboratory, CA, USA, Building Energy Performance Simulation Tools - a Life-  
393 Cycle and Interoperable Perspective.  
394 <https://stacks.stanford.edu/file/druid:ww813hh4225/WP107.pdf> (Accessed 08/05/19)  
395 15. Eadie, R., Browne, M., Odeyinka, H., McKeown, C., McNiff, S., (2013) BIM  
396 implementation throughout school of the Built Environment, University of Ulster, United  
397 Kingdom, WDR & RT Taggart, Architects and Engineers, Belfast, United Kingdom,

### 398 **Acknowledgements**

399 The Author is very thankful to the EU funded Climate KIC project for the funds provided to host  
400 the research Pioneer in Practice for this project. This appreciation is also extended to the  
401 University of Wolverhampton for Hosting the Pioneer