

1 **How Can Mobile App Technology Further Benefit**
2 **Project Managers by Improving & Increasing Working**
3 **Productivity?**

4 Mr. Hoover Lee¹, Dr. Paul Hampton² and Ms. Klare Lau³

5 ¹ City University, Hong Kong, School of Continuing and Professional Education (SCOPE)

6 ² School of Architecture and Built Environment, University of Wolverhampton, UK

7 ³ Kihar Best Trading Company, Hong Kong

8 P.Hampton@wlv.ac.uk

9 **Abstract.** Innovative technology has been exploding and prevailing among
10 various industries during the 21st century all over the world. Not only through
11 its use and adoption of innovative technology which provides convenience and
12 efficiency across various sectors, but by using technology to improve quality of
13 life and influencing and shaping human behavior. Whilst some industries like
14 business marketing and social media sectors have been quick to embrace these
15 innovative technologies, it could be argued that the built environment sector has
16 been hesitant and slow to embrace such innovative technologies. Innovation, as
17 defined by the Chartered Institute of Building (CIOB) 2007, as a “*successful*
18 *introduction of new technologies or procedures into the industry, although not*
19 *always profit driven; it can enhance sustainability, energy saving and reduction*
20 *in carbon emission.*” With innovation and sustainability key drivers for the
21 built environment sector this investigative research will review why
22 technologies like Augmented Reality (AR), Virtual Reality (VR), Building
23 Information Modelling (BIM), Modular Integrated Construction (MiC), Radio
24 Frequency Identification (RFID) and Smart Mobility have been in-part resisted
25 by the Hong Kong built environment sector and what impact this lack of
26 engagement may have on productivity on construction /project management. In
27 particular how adoption might increase working productivity in project
28 administration throughout the entire construction period. This research included
29 an investigation into the current position relating to utilization of mobile app
30 technology in Hong Kong, appraised the performance of construction sector
31 mobile app technology to increase productivity of project managers and
32 compared productivity values by using “Productivity Equation” before and after
33 the adoption of construction mobile app technology.

34 **Keywords:** Mobile app technology; Increased productivity; Project
35 management; Adoption of mobile app technology.

36 **1 Introduction**

37 The construction industry has been criticized for its low productivity and old-
38 fashioned practices for decades when comparing to other sectors in Hong Kong.
39 Secretary for Development [1] argued that the whole construction sector is facing
40 high construction cost, inefficient & ineffective practices and an ever increasing aging
41 workforce. Therefore it would make sense for the built environment sector to adopt
42 and adapt any new technology or new ways of working that would address this
43 challenge and overall increase project productivity.

44 Numerous researchers have argued that the built environment and construction
45 industry has not changed over the last decade, whereas other sectors have been swifter
46 to embrace technology and many have embraced what has been termed the 3rd/4th
47 industrial technological revolution. One of the ubiquitous technologies in recent years
48 is the mobile application (App) technology. However, it appears that the mobile app
49 technology for construction management or project management is not commonly
50 utilized in the Hong Kong construction industry. Therefore, this investigation
51 undertook research to locate why this reluctance exists and importantly evaluate how
52 the adoption of these technologies might further benefit the construction practitioners
53 as a Project Manager. Whilst undertaking this investigation it was also suggested that
54 the main reason for the lack of adoption of these technologies was the aging
55 population who has not grown up with these technologies.

56 **1.1 Aging Working Population**

57 Reference is made to “Women and Men in Hong Kong Key Statistics” published by
58 the Census and Statistics Department in July 2017 [2], there are 90,200 nos. of male
59 construction workers and 7,800 nos. of female construction workers at the age group
60 of “50 – 59” in 2016 which sum up to constitute nearly 30% of the working
61 population in the construction sector of Hong Kong. Also, there are 34,900 nos. of
62 male construction workers and 1,900 nos. of female construction workers at the age
63 group of “60 or above” in 2016 which sum up to constitute nearly 11% of the working
64 population in the construction sector of Hong Kong. The above figures concluded that
65 there were totally 134,800 nos. of construction workers in Hong Kong aged above 50
66 years old which accounted for 41% of the overall working population in the sector.
67 The figures also implied that 41% of the working population will reach the retirement
68 age of 65 in the coming few years to 15 years, which will create a significant loss of
69 productivity as well as the skillful experience in the construction sector. The situation
70 is becoming critical and worrying. The below Table 1.1 : Statistics of Construction
71 Workers aged 50 or above in Hong Kong summarizes the above figures.

72

Table 1.1 : Statistics of Construction Workers aged 50 or above in Hong Kong

Construction Sector of Hong Kong in 2016					
Total nos. of working population = 328,300					
Age group	Female	Men	Total (Female + Male)	Percentage over Total Working Population	Total Percentage at Age 50 or above
50 – 59	7,800	90,200	98,000	30%	41%
60 or above	1,900	34,900	36,800	11%	

73

(Source : Census & Statistics Department, 2016)

74 2 Methodology

75 The methodology adopted for this investigation included a mixed methodology of
 76 literature review, data collection and targeted Naoum, S.G. [3] suggest the use of
 77 questionnaire has three main advantages, namely: economic (low cost), speed (fast
 78 response from respondents) and consultation (can contain a few qualitative questions
 79 in the questionnaire which require the respondents to answer at their own free will
 80 and in their own time). This methodology acquired large sampling and the
 81 questionnaire was designed with mainly quantitative questions in “Likert Scale”
 82 supported by a few open-ended questions. The data collection process was conducted
 83 by targeted sampling with identified group of population (ie: construction
 84 practitioners) which guarantees a focused and more reliable data collection. The
 85 questionnaire was provided in English & Traditional Chinese and a mobile app
 86 “Survey Monkey” online questionnaire platform supported the investigation.

87 2.1 Research Strategy

88 The overall research process spanned over 5 months from December 2017 to April
 89 2018 and this was underpinned by an extensive literature review was conducted from
 90 December 2017 to March 2018. Data collection via questionnaires was carried out
 91 from March to April 2018. Questionnaires were sent out and collected via emails,
 92 mobile app platform, printouts disseminated to colleagues & working partners at
 93 workplace in Hong Kong construction sites and through fellow built environment
 94 practitioners. A pilot batch of questionnaires was designed to try out prior to
 95 exercising the whole batch of questionnaires. The purpose is to test the effectiveness
 96 and validity of the questionnaire, the response rate as well as the responded
 97 satisfactory rate. Naoum, S.G. [3] recommended a pilot study as it can get the bugs
 98 out from the instrument (ie: the questionnaire), so that respondents will not experience

99 difficulties in completing it and preliminary problem-shooting on wordings or format
100 can be perceived before the proceeding of main stage.

101 The overall response rate at 26% to the questionnaire was found rather satisfactory
102 as previous experience indicated that the response rate would usually at about 20%. It
103 was noticed that the response rate via the mobile app “Survey Monkey” (36%) was
104 especially encouraging, which was also the main factor boosting the overall response
105 rate. The reason was believed that the respondents were more interested to fill in the
106 questionnaire in an innovative way and the mobile app could provide convenience &
107 mobility to the respondents. The questionnaire could be answered during
108 transportation time and even could be saved & continued at another free time. Almost
109 half of the surveyed population (47%) possessed 11-15 years’ experience in
110 construction industry and the majority were Project Managers (40%) from Contactor
111 companies (47%) undertaking construction management (38%) with tertiary
112 educational background (43%) and almost two fifth (43%) of the surveyed population
113 (20 out of 47 responses) had used construction mobile apps for less than 3 years. The
114 hierarchy of the survey population was found ideal and sensible which were suitable
115 for the research subject and made the collected data representable.

116 **3 Result**

117 The purpose of Part 1 is to differentiate the non-qualified respondents, such as non-
118 construction practitioners & non-construction mobile app users and group the
119 respondents into different categories for subsequent analysis. One qualitative question
120 was asked in Question 1.8b with an intention to obtain descriptive answer on the most
121 popular construction mobile app. Part 2 is to obtain information on respondents’
122 comments on existing construction practices. Two qualitative questions were asked in
123 Question 2.4 and 2.5 with an intention to obtain descriptive answers on the most
124 unproductive and unsustainable area. Part 3 is to obtain comments from respondents
125 on the effectiveness and pitfalls of construction mobile app from a subjective point of
126 view. One qualitative question was asked in Question 3.7 with an intention to obtain
127 descriptive answer on user’s comments in regard of future development of the
128 technology. Numeric data on the estimated time consumption for each pre-coded
129 process were also requested in both Part 2 and 3 in order to collect raw data for
130 subsequent productivity calculation to test the effectiveness of construction mobile
131 app from an objective point of view. Finally, Part 4 was to obtain information from
132 respondents on the most valuable feature that would raise the adoption rate in Hong
133 Kong.

134

Table 5.1 : Overview of Statistics

Response Rate					
Phase	Period	Platform	Sent Out	Returned	Percentage
1	Mid – late March 2018	Emails	30	8	27%
2	Early – late April 2018	Emails	120	26	22%
3	Early – mid April 2018	Mobile app	50	18	36%
Total response rate :			200	52	26%
Disqualification rate :			5	5/52	10%
Adjusted total response rate :			200	47	24%

135 4 Discussion

136 Main issues identified from the investigation where the increased use of APP
137 technology might increase productivity.

138 4.1 Unsustainability

139 The traditional practice of printing hardcopies for drawings, forms and reports
140 consumes a lot of papers. According to the “Blueprint for Sustainable Use of
141 Resources” published by Environment Bureau in 2013, paper is the secondary main
142 source of Municipal Solid Waste (MSW) in Hong Kong, which contributes to 22%,
143 following putrescible of 44%, of the overall MSW composition. According to the
144 “Hong Kong’s Climate Action Plan 2030+” published by Environment Bureau in
145 2017, the HKSAR government has set a target of carbon intensity reduction of 65% -
146 70% by 2030 using 2005 as the base year, which is equivalent to 26% - 36% absolute
147 reduction and a reduction to 3.3 - 3.8 tonnes on a per capita basis. The use of papers
148 has to be reduced in order to meet the government’s target. The investigation
149 suggested that the increased use of APP technology would significantly reduce the
150 above wastage and increase the speed of sharing project drawings/project information.

151 4.2 Miscommunication

152 Traditional procurement is still the most common contracting means for construction
153 projects in Hong Kong. The tradition of multi-layer contracting is one of the
154 characteristics. This contracting relationship is effective on one side but can be
155 complicated on the other side. The complicated communication channel can lead to
156 misinterpretation of messages and prolong the communication time through the
157 various layers. The investigation concluded that use of smart technologies such as
158 APP’s would again significantly increase reliability and increase certainty of
159 information sharing.

160

161 **4.3 Low Productivity**

162 Construction industry is known as a series of labor-intensive processes combining the
163 outputs of different trades. It is not difficult to observe that workers are still wasting
164 time in waiting for job allocation and walking to and fro the site office to look up a
165 single Code of Practice or drawing which cause the overall productivity to descend.
166 The research concluded that use of technology prevented unnecessary travel and
167 increased instantaneous motivated response times.

168 **4.4 Stringent Building Regulations & Heavy Workload on Project**
169 **Documentation**

170 As building technology and information technology advance, the Building Ordinance
171 and Regulations have also evolved to cater for the new changes, such as the
172 implementation of Minor Works Control System in 2011 and the introduction of pre-
173 acceptance mechanism of Modular Integrated Construction in 2017 by the Buildings
174 Department (BD). The new Ordinance and Regulations has better controlled the
175 construction standard and quality, but at the same time induced lots of extra
176 paperwork and documentation workload. The investigation suggested that updates
177 and changes can be more easily reached and translated into project documentation.

178 **4.5 Benefits from Construction Mobile Apps**

179 The construction mobile apps were devised to help Project Managers with more
180 convenience & mobility without sacrificing standardization, systematization and
181 formality. The seven routine administration activities were designated and modelled
182 in Question 2.6a – 2.6g because they also corresponded with the anchor functions of
183 the construction mobile apps as designated in Question 3.1a – 3.1g. For the purpose of
184 identifying the most helpful functions perceived by respondents, similar exercise of
185 calculating the Relative Importance Index (RII), Arithmetic Mean (Mean) & Sample
186 Standard Deviation (SD) was also carried out and the statistics are shown in the
187 following Table1.2: RII, Arithmetic Mean & Standard Deviation on Helpfulness of
188 Construction Mobile Apps.

189
190
191

Table 1.2 : RII, Arithmetic Mean & Standard Deviation on Helpfulness of Construction Mobile Apps

No.	Functions of Construction Mobile Apps	RII	Arithmetic Mean	Standard Deviation
3.1a	Online RFI, CVI and Progress Report function	0.87	4.33	34.78
3.1b	Photos taking and uploading to cloud storage	0.73	3.64	19.01
3.1c	Information distribution via communication function	0.87	4.36	37.75
3.1d	Online instruction issuance function	0.79	3.97	25.02
3.1e	Data retrieval from cloud storage function	0.89	4.45	39.25
3.1f	Instant emergency incidents reporting from site staff	0.65	3.24	17.94
3.1g	Standardized format and online central filing system	0.86	4.30	34.71

192 From the above table, once again both the RII and Arithmetic Mean had reached
193 compromise with expected Standard Deviation that the highest three values are the
194 same three functions (highlighted in yellow above), namely in order of precedence
195 “data retrieval from cloud storage function”, “information distribution via
196 communication function” and “online RFI, CVI & Progress Report function”. The
197 ranking implied that the top three functions had helped respondents the most in saving
198 time, increasing productivity and serving their needs. The respondents perceived huge
199 progression and enjoyed much benefit by applying the three functions at works.
200 Another finding is that the top three functions call on the three most unproductive
201 practices as in Table 6.1 to some extent. The function of “online RFI, CVI & Progress
202 Report” was addressing the traditional practice of “filling in RFI, CVI & Progress

203 Report” and the functions of “data retrieval from cloud storage” & “information
 204 distribution via communication function” were somehow improving the traditional
 205 practices of “taking & transferring site photos to database” & “travelling to & fro
 206 office to attend emergency incidents”.

207 As identified in the literature review, productivity is a ratio between an output
 208 value and an input value used to produce the output [4]. Also, Chan, Paul [5]
 209 construed that productivity should be bound by time, cost & quality frameworks.
 210 Productivity can be calculated [6] and represented as a percentage by a simple
 211 equation as below:-
 212

$$Productivity = \frac{Output\ Value}{Input\ value} \times 100\%$$

213

214 Estimated daily time consumption (minutes) for the seven routine administration
 215 activities and the seven functions of construction mobile apps were collected from
 216 respondents in Question 2.6a – 2.6g and 3.1a – 3.1g respectively. The simple equation
 217 is used to calculate and compare the productivity before and after the adoption of
 218 construction mobile apps. It is assumed that all other variables eg: number of
 219 workforce , equipment & material used to produce one unit of output value are held
 220 constant and the only variable is the time consumed to execute such task.

221 It is therefore suggested that innovative construction mobile apps can provide
 222 many solutions and deliver various levels of improvement within and across the built
 223 environment /construction industry including: reducing and promoting paper-less
 224 working environment & contributing to sustainability, enhancing communication &
 225 information promulgation, increasing overall working productivity, maintaining
 226 systematic online filing system and relieving the demand for human resources. On the
 227 contrary, the technology has its demerits, such as lacking of face-to-face non-verbal
 228 expression (at the moment) and increasing initial capital cost (despite cost-saving in
 229 the long run). However the overall use of mobile app technology was shown in this
 230 investigation to be a significant catalyst to increase strategic and operational
 231 productivity for construction and project managers, Subsequent paragraphs, however,
 232 are indented (here insert the second paragraph).

233 **5 Conclusions**

234 In conclusion, the aim of this research was accomplished by conducting an in-depth
 235 investigation into the areas of construction mobile apps that can further help project
 236 managers in construction management. Meanwhile, the objectives of this research
 237 were also achieved respectively by exploring the role of project manager in modern
 238 construction management, identifying the bottlenecks & low productivity traditional
 239 practices, reviewing the existing functions of construction mobile apps, calculating
 240 the productivity as evaluation on current performance, investigating the low
 241 utilization rate in Hong Kong, exploring the potential improvement areas and
 242 recommending new innovative features for construction mobile apps.

243

244

The research question was answered by finding out the existing functions of construction mobile apps that addressed the traditional practices of low productivity and the existing areas that were most helpful, most in need of improvements & innovative features. The answers could help to further benefit project managers by improving construction management and increasing working productivity.

249

250

251

252

253

254

255

256

Hypothesis was also proved true that once construction mobile app is adopted to perform construction management, then the working productivity of specific administration activities can be significantly increased (productivity boosted with a mean value of 120% as substantiated in Table 6.3 : Calculation and Comparison of Productivity) and some of the traditional inefficient practices can be substituted with the use of construction mobile apps (eg : online filling-in of RFI, CVI & Progress Report) which can help to achieve output in a more systematic and standardized format while minimizing the amount of input (ie: time).

257

References

258

259

260

261

262

263

264

265

266

267

268

269

270

271

272

1. Secretary for Development (2016) Speech at HKCA International Conference on "Lean Construction" [online] [accessed on 24 March 2018] available at : <http://www.info.gov.hk/gia/general/201610/17/P2016101700416.htm>
2. Census & Statistics Department (2017) Women and Men in Hong Kong Key Statistics 2017 Edition Hong Kong : HKSAR
3. Naoum, S.G. (2007) Dissertation Research and Writing for Construction Students (2nd Ed.) UK : Elsevier
4. Borcharding, J.D., Palmeter, S.B., & Jansma, G.L. (1986). Work force management programs for increased productivity and quality work. EEI Construction Committee Spring Meetings
5. Chan, Paul (2010) Factors affecting Labor Productivity in the Construction Industry 18th Annual ARCOM Conference Heriot-Watt University Vol. 2, pp. 771-780
6. Naoum, Shamil George (2015) Factors influencing Labor Productivity on construction sites : A state-of-the-art Literature Review and a Survey International Journal of Productivity and Performance Management; Bradford, Vol. 65 Issue 3, pp.401-421