

1 **Health and Safety Elements of Premature Construction**
2 **Project Closure**

3 Olushola Akinshipe, Clinton Aigbavboa, Wellington Didibhuku Thwala, Mutshaeni
4 Madidimalo

5 ¹ SARChI in Sustainable Construction and Leadership in the Built Environment, Faculty of
6 Engineering and Built Environment, University of Johannesburg, South Africa.
7 sholaakinshipe@gmail.com

8 **Abstract.** Construction projects are usually bounded by a predefined start and
9 end date within which project objectives must be met. Negligence by one or more
10 project stakeholders often results in project failure; however, other internal
11 factors can also cause a project to fail. Thus, this study explores the health and
12 safety components that can lead to premature construction project closure. Data
13 for the study was gathered through a survey of construction professionals within
14 the Gauteng Province of South Africa. The data gathered was analysed using a
15 quantitative model to rank the measured factors in terms of their mean item
16 scores. The results revealed that accidents, air quality considerations, and not
17 providing various safety gears pose the most risk to successful completion of
18 construction projects. It was therefore concluded that proper plans and strategies
19 should be put in place to reduce the risk of occurrence of hazardous events.
20 Lastly, necessary emergency actions must be stipulated for any kind of
21 unexpected occurrence that could jeopardize the wellbeing of workers.

22 **Keywords:** Construction Health and safety factors; Project failure; Project
23 success; Construction projects; Premature project closure.

24 **1 Background**

25 Projects are supposed to end when the predefined project goals have been met. Then
26 again, when project objectives cannot be met or there is no longer need for the project,
27 the project will be terminated prematurely. According to Doraisamy [1], a project may
28 face difficulties and challenges that lead to it being abandoned and closed. In delivering
29 construction projects successfully, clients and construction professionals face several
30 obstacles as a result of the complex nature of construction projects [2]. Havila [3]
31 submitted that project stakeholders must remain proactive in order to ensure
32 construction projects are completed successfully and within its success parameters.

33 Belassi [4] submitted that numerous factors are responsible for the successful
34 completion of construction projects. A number of studies like that of [5–7] also
35 attributed successful completion of construction projects to efficient project leadership.
36 Similarly, Meredith [8] noted that usually, projects might need to close for internal
37 reasons within the project. This kind of project closure essentially terminates all

38 activities associated with the project irrespective of what stage they are, or amount of
39 funds, energy and time have been invested in the project. In another light, construction
40 projects are considered as high-risk series of activities, detrimental to human health [9].
41 In view of this, the current study was guided to explore the health and safety
42 considerations that lead to premature closure in construction projects.

43 Health and safety concerns remain a delicate matter in construction projects. This
44 can be attributed to the fact that the construction industry is characterized by a very
45 high number of accidents [9]. Bird [10] submitted that the days of attributing accidents
46 as a random phenomenon are over. Accidents are caused by a series of actions that
47 could be avoided if recognized, comprehended and efficiently managed. It is worthy to
48 note that these accidents do not only alter the wellbeing of workers but could ultimately
49 lead to fatalities and demoralize the families involved [11]. Waehrer [12] also pointed
50 out that accidents are also sources of damages to clients and construction firms which
51 might instigate a shutdown of current projects. Studies carried out by [13, 14] pointed
52 out that the most frequent ‘accident types’ in construction projects include; being hit by
53 falling objects; contact with moving parts of a machine, electrocution, falls from height
54 such as roof, upper floor, platform, ladder, and scaffold.

55 In the study conducted by Wu [15], it was observed that safety in construction
56 projects can be jeopardized by four main issues which are; safety climate - the common
57 perceptions on safety among employees. Safety culture - the beliefs of a company on
58 safety issues. Safety attitude – the mental state of workers during a project. Safety
59 behaviour – the actions of workers as regards to safety. Furthermore, Studies carried
60 out by Winge [16] pointed out seven causal factors of occupational accidents. The first
61 is ‘workers action’ which includes mistakes, dangerous acts, defiance of standard
62 procedures, and cutting corners. Next is ‘workers capabilities’ which involves workers
63 not knowing how to handle equipment, perform a task, or identify a hazardous situation.
64 Third on the list is ‘immediate supervision’ which involves supervisors not properly
65 planning activities in such a way to control dangerous situations and reduce the risk of
66 accidents. The fourth is ‘local hazards’ which are perils peculiar to construction sites
67 and they could be properly managed when identified. The fifth is ‘usability of
68 resources’ which refers to the lack of specific material or equipment needed for a task.
69 Sixth on the list is ‘project management’ and it refers to omission or misunderstanding
70 of details of the project or activities within the project. Last on the list is ‘Risk
71 management’ which involves inaccurate assessment of risks. Alarcón [17] suggested
72 that occupational safety within construction projects can be enhanced if construction
73 companies adopt the best combination of safety practices that suit the size and nature
74 of its organizational structure.

75 **2 Research Setup**

76 The background of this study has discussed how health and safety considerations can
77 jeopardize the successful completion of construction projects. It further outlined
78 different actions that could lead to health and safety hazards on project sites. In view of
79 this, the research was streamlined into a descriptive study and thus, the survey method

80 was deemed appropriate for collecting the primary data. The research adopted a
 81 quantitative model and thus a five-point Likert scale structured questionnaire was
 82 designed and used as the medium of collecting primary data with the sole purpose of
 83 achieving the aim of the study. Primary data was sourced from built environment
 84 professionals in Gauteng Province of South Africa. A total of 120 questionnaires were
 85 sent out to prospective respondents. 82 questionnaires were retrieved back and serve as
 86 the basis for this research after they were checked for completeness. The retrieved
 87 questionnaires were analysed using descriptive statistics and presented in terms of their
 88 ranked mean item scores in the succeeding section of this paper.

89 **3 Findings**

90 Background data collected revealed that 47.56% of the respondents are Civil Engineers,
 91 21.95% are Construction Project Managers, 12.20% are Quantity Surveyors, 9.76% are
 92 Architects, 6.10% are Contracts Managers and 2.44% are Project Managers.
 93 Distribution of the respondents based on the length of work experience in the
 94 construction industry shows that 64.63% has 1-5 years of experience, 30.49% has 6-10
 95 years of experience while 4.88% has above 10 years of experience in the construction
 96 industry. 61.11% of the respondents worked in the private sector, 32.94% of the
 97 respondents worked for both private and public sectors while 6.15% of the respondents
 98 worked in the public sector. The background information collected in this study reveals
 99 that the respondents extensively span across various construction-related fields and are
 100 engaged in both public and private sectors. In line with this, it can be assumed that the
 101 data retrieved from the respondents is reliable.

102 **Table 1.** Health and safety elements that contribute to premature project closure.

Health and safety elements	Mean Item Score	Standard deviation	Rank
Vehicle related accidents	3.89	0.994	1st
Air quality and respiratory concerns	3.88	0.921	2nd
Lack of personal protective equipment	3.84	0.675	3rd
Lack of first aid and fire safety training drills	3.84	0.909	3rd
Materials and equipment handling	3.82	0.904	5th
Psychological state of workers (stress)	3.82	0.904	5th
Unavailability of warning signs	3.79	0.871	7th
Violence on site	3.78	0.952	8th
Fall of workers from heights	3.70	0.898	9th
Noise handling	3.56	1.020	10th

103 Table 1 ranks the health and safety elements that contribute to premature project
 104 closure. From the table, it can be deduced that vehicle-related accidents; respiratory
 105 concerns; lack of personal protective equipment; lack of first aid and fire safety training

106 drills; materials and equipment handling, and Psychological state of workers are at the
107 top of health and safety components responsible for premature project closure with
108 mean item scores of 3.89; 3.88; 3.84; 3.84; 3.82; and 3.82 respectively. Since all
109 components measured are above the average value of 3, it could be purported that all
110 the elements are significant health and safety factors that could easily lead to premature
111 project closure.

112 **4 Discussion**

113 Khodeir [18] emphasized the importance of practicing health and safety strategies in the
114 workplace as a way of minimizing injuries which may lead to premature project closure.
115 Abbasi [19] agree with the findings of the current study as it ranked vehicle-related
116 accidents first. Furthermore, Doraisamy [1] also agree with these findings as it
117 emphasized that unavailability of personal protective equipment can have an influence
118 on safety on site which in turn can contribute to the closure of project prematurely.
119 However, Alcumus [20] believes that fall protection for workers working on heights,
120 noise handling and availability of warning signs to prevent workers from slipping and
121 falling are the most important factors.

122 The empirical findings and theoretical review of this study revealed that all the
123 component measured are significant health and safety factors that could cause
124 construction projects to close prematurely. However, vehicular accidents, respiratory
125 safety, and availability of personal protective equipment are the major causal factors of
126 premature project closure under health and safety considerations. Also, deficiency in
127 first aid and fire safety training drills and mishandling equipment and materials were
128 also identified as important elements of premature project closure, in terms of health
129 and safety. It is worthy to note that all the element identified as health and safety
130 components of premature project closure can be mitigated with proper risk assessment,
131 plan, and strategy as it is important for construction firms to ensure safety for all its
132 workers. This can be achieved by adopting the best combination of safety practices that
133 suit individual firm's organisational structure as well as the size and nature of projects
134 executed within the organisation.

135 It is important that constructions sites be kept as safe as possible and necessary
136 emergency equipment be provided. Personal protective equipment must also be
137 provided for all workers involved in a project as well as people visiting the project site.
138 The health of personnel on construction sites must also be specially considered in terms
139 of air quality and how it can affect the respiratory systems of workers. Furthermore,
140 construction site workers and team members should be taken through a mandatory
141 induction stage at the commencement of individual projects. During the induction, all
142 staff members involved in the project should be sensitized on the modalities and
143 uniqueness of such new projects.

144 **5 Conclusion**

145 Construction projects are supposed to sequentially cover all stages of a project life
 146 cycle, from the conception stage all through to the project termination stage. However,
 147 numerous difficulties and challenges may be encountered during the course of project
 148 execution that can lead the project to close prematurely without achieving the
 149 predetermined goals. This study thus explored the health and safety elements that can
 150 cause construction project to prematurely close and found out that accidents, air quality
 151 considerations, and not providing different safety gears pose the most risk to successful
 152 completion of construction projects. Although construction projects cannot be
 153 completely void of accidents and hazardous risks, proper planning and management
 154 could reduce their occurrences and mitigate their impacts on projects.

155 **References**

- 156 1. Doraisamy, S. V, Akasah, Z., Yunus, R.: A Review on Abandoned Construction
 157 Projects: Causes and Effects, (2014).
 158 <https://doi.org/10.4028/www.scientific.net/AMM.773-774.979>.
- 159 2. Doloi, H.: Analysis of pre-qualification criteria in contractor selection and their impacts
 160 on project success. *Constr. Manag. Econ.* 27, 1245–1263 (2009).
 161 <https://doi.org/10.1080/01446190903394541>.
- 162 3. Havila, V., Medlin, C.J., Salmi, A.: Project-ending competence in premature project
 163 closures. *Int. J. Proj. Manag.* 31, 90–99 (2013).
 164 <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.IJPROMAN.2012.05.001>.
- 165 4. Belassi, W., Tukel, O.I.: A new framework for determining critical success/failure
 166 factors in projects. *Int. J. Proj. Manag.* 14, 141–151 (1996).
 167 [https://doi.org/10.1016/0263-7863\(95\)00064-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/0263-7863(95)00064-X).
- 168 5. Alzahrani, J.I., Emsley, M.W.: The impact of contractors' attributes on construction
 169 project success: A post construction evaluation. *Int. J. Proj. Manag.* 31, 313–322 (2013).
 170 <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.IJPROMAN.2012.06.006>.
- 171 6. Thomas Ng, S., Tang, Z., Palaneeswaran, E.: Factors contributing to the success of
 172 equipment-intensive subcontractors in construction. *Int. J. Proj. Manag.* 27, 736–744
 173 (2009). <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.IJPROMAN.2008.09.006>.
- 174 7. Palaneeswaran, E., Kumaraswamy, M.: Recent advances and proposed improvements
 175 in contractor prequalification methodologies. *Build. Environ.* 36, 73–87 (2001).
 176 [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0360-1323\(99\)00069-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0360-1323(99)00069-4).
- 177 8. Meredith, J.R., Mantel, S.J.: *Project management : a managerial approach*. Wiley
 178 (2012).
- 179 9. Winge, S., Albrechtsen, E.: Accident types and barrier failures in the construction
 180 industry. *Saf. Sci.* 105, 158–166 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.SSCI.2018.02.006>.
- 181 10. Bird, F.E., Germain, G.L.: *Practical loss control leadership*. Institute Pub, Duluth Ga.
 182 (1986).
- 183 11. Hinze, J.: Safety Incentives: Do They Reduce Injuries? *Pract. Period. Struct. Des.*
 184 *Constr.* 7, 81–84 (2002). [https://doi.org/10.1061/\(ASCE\)1084-0680\(2002\)7:2\(81\)](https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)1084-0680(2002)7:2(81)).

- 185 12. Waehrer, G.M., Dong, X.S., Miller, T., Haile, E., Men, Y.: Costs of occupational injuries
 186 in construction in the United States. *Accid. Anal. Prev.* 39, 1258–66 (2007).
 187 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aap.2007.03.012>.
- 188 13. Ale, B.J.M., Bellamy, L.J., Baksteen, H., Damen, M., Goossens, L.H.J., Hale, A.R.,
 189 Mud, M., Oh, J., Papazoglou, I.A., Whiston, J.Y.: Accidents in the construction industry
 190 in the Netherlands: An analysis of accident reports using Storybuilder. *Reliab. Eng. Syst.*
 191 *Saf.* 93, 1523–1533 (2008). <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.RESS.2007.09.004>.
- 192 14. Lipscomb, H.J., Dement, J.M., Rodriguez-Acosta, R.: Deaths from External Causes of
 193 Injury Among Construction Workers in North Carolina, 1988?1994. *Appl. Occup.*
 194 *Environ. Hyg.* 15, 569–580 (2000). <https://doi.org/10.1080/10473220050028394>.
- 195 15. Wu, X., Liu, Q., Zhang, L., Skibniewski, M.J., Wang, Y.: Prospective safety
 196 performance evaluation on construction sites. *Accid. Anal. Prev.* 78, 58–72 (2015).
 197 <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.AAP.2015.02.003>.
- 198 16. Winge, S., Albrechtsen, E., Mostue, B.A.: Causal factors and connections in
 199 construction accidents. *Saf. Sci.* 112, 130–141 (2019).
 200 <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.SSCI.2018.10.015>.
- 201 17. Alarcón, L.F., Acuña, D., Diethelm, S., Pellicer, E.: Strategies for improving safety
 202 performance in construction firms, <http://0->
 203 www.sciencedirect.com/ujlink.uj.ac.za/science/article/pii/S0001457516301750,
 204 (2016). <https://doi.org//doi.org/10.1016/j.aap.2016.05.021>.
- 205 18. Khodeir, L.M., Mohamed, A.H.M.: Identifying the latest risk probabilities affecting
 206 construction projects in Egypt according to political and economic variables. From
 207 January 2011 to January 2013. *HBRC J.* 11, 129–135 (2015).
 208 <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.HBRCJ.2014.03.007>.
- 209 19. Abbasi, N., Wajid, I., Iqbal, Z., Zafar, F.: Project Failure Case Studies and Suggestion.
 210 *Int. J. Comput. Appl.* 86, 34–39 (2014). <https://doi.org/10.5120/14992-2696>.
- 211 20. Alcumus: Top Ten Health and Safety Risks in Construction | Alcumus,
 212 [https://www.alcumusgroup.com/blog/october-2014/top-ten-health-and-safety-risks-in-](https://www.alcumusgroup.com/blog/october-2014/top-ten-health-and-safety-risks-in-construction#sthash.RfxtlmF9.dpuf)
 213 [construction#sthash.RfxtlmF9.dpuf](https://www.alcumusgroup.com/blog/october-2014/top-ten-health-and-safety-risks-in-construction#sthash.RfxtlmF9.dpuf).